

From: [Jennifer Schultz - NOAA Federal](#)  
To: [Crane, Drew](#)  
Subject: Re: one other thing  
Date: Thursday, February 27, 2014 12:20:54 PM  
Attachments: [Revised 316\(b\) draft rule language with ESA changes NMFS2.27.2014DW2.docx](#)

---

Attachment Withheld - b5 Deliberative  
Process

Maybe I should send you our current proposal. (b)(5) Deliberative Process  
Here's what we're saying:

(b)(5) Deliberative Process

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

(b)(5) Deliberative Process

Jennifer Schultz, Ph.D.  
*Endangered Species Act Interagency Cooperation Division*  
*Office of Protected Resources*  
*NOAA Fisheries*  
*U.S. Department of Commerce*  
301-427-8443

[jennifer.schultz@noaa.gov](mailto:jennifer.schultz@noaa.gov)  
[www.nmfs.noaa.gov](http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov)



On Thu, Feb 27, 2014 at 4:16 PM, Crane, Drew <[drew\\_crane@fws.gov](mailto:drew_crane@fws.gov)> wrote:  
Concerning EPA's bullet number 4 in the rule that (b)(5) Deliberative Process  
Instead of removing it completely, what if it read like  
this:

(b)(5) Deliberative Process

Just a thought

Drew